

The Four Gospels in the  
Earliest Church History

The Baird Lecture for 1907

# The Four Gospels in the Earliest Church History

BY

THOMAS NICOL, D.D.

PROFESSOR OF DIVINITY AND BIBLICAL CRITICISM  
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN

WILLIAM BLACKWOOD AND SONS

EDINBURGH AND LONDON

MCMVIII

In Memoriam

*THE VERY REV. A. H. CHARTERIS,*

*D.D. (EDIN. AND ABERD.), LL.D.*

## PREFACE.

---

HOWEVER the ground may change in the conflict as to the credibility of the Gospel history, the first line of defence, it seems to me, must always be the external evidence. It is the external evidence for the Four Gospels which I have endeavoured in these Lectures to state in the light of the most recent research. The statement does not profess to be minutely exhaustive, but it is hoped that nothing material has been overlooked.

To the Baird Trustees I owe cordial acknowledgments for giving me the opportunity of dealing with this important subject for the first time in their Lectureship.

It has been a high gratification to me that my old teacher, Professor Charteris, has read the Lectures in proof, and done so with the ardour and keenness of former years. From my ever-helpful colleague, Professor Cowan, I have received similar aid and many valuable suggestions.

My debt to the chief authorities in this field,

as the reader will at once perceive, is great. A selected list of books, most of which have been consulted, is given after the table of contents. Of these, Eusebius's 'Ecclesiastical History' deserves special mention, M'Giffert's translation, with its valuable notes, having been in constant use. Next to it, the monumental works of Professor Zahn have been of service. His 'Einleitung in das N.T.' (in its third edition) has now been translated, and will be published in the course of this year. The references in the Lectures are to the translation, of which the publishers, Messrs T. & T. Clark, Edinburgh, kindly allowed me to see the sheets in advance. To our great English scholars I am under deep obligations; and with the works of Westcott and Lightfoot, Sanday and Stanton, I venture to name Professor Charteris's 'Canonicity,' which, though out of print, is by no means out of date.

*P.S.*—This volume had been passed for press with a dedication to the Very Reverend A. H. Charteris, D.D., LL.D., "as a tribute of admiration and affection from an old student." His sudden death on April 24 has made an alteration necessary. It is now with the deepest gratitude dedicated to his memory.

UNIVERSITY OF ABERDEEN,

*May 2, 1908.*

# CONTENTS.

---

	PAGE
INTRODUCTORY . . . . .	I

## CHAPTER I.

### EARLIER CRITICAL THEORIES.

Four Gospels unquestioned till close of eighteenth century—First critical assaults—Paulus, Strauss, Baur—Baur's reconstruction of Primitive Christianity—Its wide acceptance—Reaction—Rejection . . . . .	6
---	---

## CHAPTER II.

### SOME RECENT CRITICISM.

Critical successors of Baur—Rejection of historical element in Gospels—Particular theories—Schmiedel—Statement and criticism of his positions—Pfleiderer—Kalthoff—Contention of present course of lectures . . . . .	19
--	----

## CHAPTER III.

## THE FOUR GOSPELS ABOUT 200 A.D.

Acknowledged books of New Testament at close of second century—Use of the word "canon"—Tests of canonical quality—Gospels specially treasured—Four Gospels appealed to alike by Catholic Church and heretical sects—The close of the second century an epoch in Christian literature—The testimony of ORIGEN—CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA—TERTULLIAN—EARLY VERSIONS: Syriac, Latin, Egyptian	34
---	----

## CHAPTER IV.

## A GOSPEL COLLECTION—IRENÆUS

Traces of a Gospel collection—Position of Irenæus: (1) His lofty conception of the Church; (2) His wide acquaintance with the thought of his time; (3) His high doctrine of Inspiration; (4) His labours in widely separated provinces of the Church—His testimony to a Gospel collection—His claim of exclusive authority for the Fourfold Gospel—Objections taken by negative criticism: (1) To his symbolism; (2) His views of the age of Jesus at His death; (3) His alleged incompetence as a witness to the sayings of Polycarp; (4) His testimony as a witness for the whole Church questioned—His credibility vindicated	58
--	----

## CHAPTER V.

A GOSPEL COLLECTION—MURATORIAN FRAGMENT  
AND TATIAN.

- MURATORIAN FRAGMENT described—Its testimony  
—Its account of composition of fourth Gospel—  
Value of its tribute subject to uncertainty as to  
its date—TATIAN—His personality—Disciple of  
Justin Martyr—His 'Diatessaron'—Testimonies  
to its use and character—Its subsequent history  
—Its witness to a Gospel collection . . . . . 80

## CHAPTER VI.

## A GOSPEL COLLECTION—JUSTIN MARTYR.

- JUSTIN'S chronology and literary work—Did he  
know our Gospels?—Credner's view—His use  
of Synoptics now generally acknowledged—  
Indications of individual Gospels—Question  
whether the fourth Gospel belongs to the  
'Memoirs'—Harnack's view—Recent criticism  
—Extra-canonical sayings of Jesus—Reference  
to *Acta Pilati* . . . . . 97

## CHAPTER VII.

## THE SHEPHERD OF HERMAS—THE NUMBER FOUR.

- HERMAS and his Shepherd—Dr Charles Taylor's  
discovery of Fourfold Gospel in the Shepherd  
—Proofs of its use—The ground of FOUR as  
the number of the Gospels—Four in Scripture  
symbolism—Harnack's question, Why four and  
not one?—Collection of Four first completed in  
Asia Minor soon after the issue of the fourth  
Gospel . . . . . 112



## CHAPTER VIII.

## ST MATTHEW.—I.

Individual Gospels accompanied from beginning with traditions of origin—Internal evidence conclusive as to authorship of St Luke and St Mark—St Matthew the favourite Gospel of the early Church—Its early and wide circulation—Harnack on its attractive character—Illustrations of its early use—Testimony of JUSTIN MARTYR—2 CLEMENT—Apology of ARISTIDES . . . . .	122
--	-----

## CHAPTER IX.

## ST MATTHEW.—II.

PAPIAS of Hierapolis—His 'Expositions'—His statement that St Matthew wrote his 'Logia' in Hebrew—Views as to 'Logia'—Schleiermacher's view—Hilgenfeld's view that they were the Gospel of St Matthew—Traces in early Church of an Aramaic Gospel of St Matthew—Zahn's view—Testimony of POLYCARP—Its peculiar value . . . . .	145
---	-----

## CHAPTER X.

## ST MATTHEW.—III.

Testimony of IGNATIUS—The Ignatian letters—Proofs of the use of St Matthew—CLEMENT OF ROME—Epistle of BARNABAS—Use of the Gospel by EARLY HERETICS—Testimony of the DIDACHE—St Matthew the Gospel of the Didache . . . . .	161
--	-----

## CHAPTER XI.

## ST MARK.

Early lack of favour—Augustine's view—Connection with St Peter—Testimony of Justin—Papias of Hierapolis—His reference to the Presbyter John—Presbyter and Apostle one and the same—Importance of this testimony—Apostolic Fathers—Heretical writers—Internal evidence—Authenticated by the other Evangelists. 179

## CHAPTER XII.

## ST LUKE.—I.

Eusebius and Irenæus on St Luke—Testimony of Marcion—Marcion's heresy—Marcion's canon—Tertullian against Marcion—Marcion in modern criticism—Zahn's investigations—Marcion's knowledge of the Gospels . . . 209

## CHAPTER XIII.

## ST LUKE.—II.

Justin Martyr—Apocryphal Gospel of Peter—Celsus—Protevangelium Jacobi—Basilides—Apostolic Fathers—Tradition of Lucan authorship—The tradition supported by internal evidence—St Luke a witness to historical character of earliest Christian records . . . 227

## CHAPTER XIV.

## ST JOHN.—I.

History of criticism — Witness of Eusebius and Irenæus—Testimony of Tatian in 'Diatessaron' and 'Address to the Greeks' — Clementine Homilies — Gospel of Peter — Justin Martyr—Principal Drummond's judgment—Testimony of heretics—Valentinus—Basilides—Verdict of Dr Ezra Abbot—The Alogi—Papias and Polycarp 241

## CHAPTER XV.

## ST JOHN.—II.

Ignatius — His letters — His circumstances when they were written — References to St John's Gospel in them — Coincidences of language—Correspondence in doctrine and in views of the Christian life—Nature of this Johannine influence — Explained by acquaintance with written Gospel — How such acquaintance was possible — Composition and authentication of the Gospel 273

## CHAPTER XVI.

## IDENTITY OF THE FOURTH EVANGELIST.

Not John the Apostle, but John the Presbyter, held to be the author—Lützelberger—Keim—Harnack—Delff—De Boor's Fragment—Precarious nature of these theories—The Early Church not mistaken as to identity of the Evangelist—Chain

of early witnesses: Justin Martyr, Irenæus, Polycrates, Clement of Alexandria—Testimony of Acts, and the Epistles, and Revelation—Character of John the Apostle in keeping with the tone of the Gospel and Epistles—Differences between St John's Gospel and Synoptics—External evidence and internal converge upon John the Apostle as the author . . . . .	293
--	-----

## CHAPTER XVII.

## CONCLUSION.

The tradition of authorship supported by witness of the Church—Continuity of the Church of the Gentiles with the Church of Jerusalem—Preservation of tradition of authorship—The Church existed before the Gospels—St Paul's Epistles before the Gospels—St Paul and Gospel writers in full accord—Chain of early witnesses—Christianity as a creative force—Its effects and manifestations—Testimonies to its vitality and power—Primacy of the Four Gospels as records of Christ—Their authority—Their equality with Old Testament—Their inspiration—Their acceptance as an adequate and authoritative record of the Life and Teaching of Christ . . . . .	309
--	-----

## SELECTED LIST OF BOOKS.

---

### I.—THE CANON.

- Charteris (A. H., D.D., LL.D.), *Canonicity*. Edinburgh, 1880.  
„ *The New Testament Scriptures*. London, 1882.
- Gregory (Caspar René, D.D., LL.D.), *Canon and Text of the New Testament*. Edinburgh, 1907.
- Leipoldt (Johannes), *Geschichte des N.T. Kanons*. Leipzig, 1907.
- Moore (Professor E. C.), *The New Testament in the Christian Church*. New York, 1904.
- Sanday (W., D.D., LL.D.), *Inspiration*<sup>3</sup> (Bampton Lecture). London, 1898.
- Westcott (Bishop), *The Canon of the New Testament*.<sup>7</sup> London, 1896.  
„ *The Bible in the Church*. London, 1866.
- Zahn (Theodore, D.D.), *Geschichte des N.T. Kanons*. Erlangen and Leipzig, vols. i. and ii., 1889-1892. (Quoted GK.)  
„ *Forschungen zur Geschichte des N.T. Kanons*. Erlangen and Leipzig, vols. i.-vii., 1891-1903.  
„ *Grundriss der Geschichte des N.T. Kanons*.<sup>2</sup> Leipzig, 1904.

### II.—CHURCH HISTORY.

- Von Dobschütz (E., D.D.), *Christian Life in the Primitive Church*. London, 1906.
- Eusebius, *Ecclesiastical History*. Translated by A. C. M'Giffert, D.D. Oxford and New York, 1890.

- Harnack (Adolf, D.D., LL.D.), *Expansion of Christianity*.  
Translated by James Moffatt, D.D. Two vols. London,  
1904.
- Ramsay (Sir William, D.D., LL.D.), *The Church in the  
Roman Empire*.<sup>6</sup> London, 1898.
- „ *St Paul the Traveller*.<sup>3</sup> London, 1897.
- „ *Was Christ Born at Bethlehem?*<sup>2</sup> London, 1898.
- Schaff (Philip, D.D., LL.D.), *History of the Church, Apostolic  
Christianity, and Ante-Nicene Christianity*. Four  
vols. Edinburgh, 1883-1884.
- Wernlé, *Beginnings of Christianity*. Two vols. London,  
1905.

### III.—EARLY CHRISTIAN LITERATURE.

- Bardenhewer, *Geschichte der altchristlichen Literatur*. Two  
vols. München, 1902.
- Burkitt (F. C., D.D.), *Early Eastern Christianity*. London,  
1904.
- Cruttwell (Rev. C. T., M.A.), *Literary History of Early  
Christianity*. Two vols. London, 1893.
- Deissmann, *Evangelium und Urchristentum*. München,  
1905.
- Donaldson (Sir James, D.D., LL.D.), *History of Christian  
Literature and Doctrine*. Three vols. 1864-1866.
- Harnack, *Geschichte der Altchristlichen Literatur*. Leip-  
zig. I. *Die Überlieferung*, 1893. II. *Die Chronologie*,  
1897-1904.
- Krüger, *Early Christian Literature*. London, 1897.
- Lightfoot (Bishop), *Essays on Supernatural Religion*. Lon-  
don, 1889.
- M'Clymont (J. A., D.D.), *New Testament and its Writers*.  
Edinburgh, 1893.
- Von Soden, *Early Christian Literature*. London, 1906.
- Weiss (J.), *Die Schriften des N.T. neu übersetzt*.<sup>2</sup> Got-  
tingen, 1907.

## IV.—INTRODUCTION.

- Holtzmann (H. J.), *Einleitung in das N.T.* Freiburg-i.-B., 1885.
- Jülicher (Adolf, D.D.), *Introduction to N.T.* Translated by J. P. Trevelyan. 1904.
- Moffatt, *The Historical New Testament.*<sup>2</sup> Edinburgh, 1905.
- Salmon (G., D.D.), *Introduction to the N.T.*<sup>3</sup> London, 1897.
- Weiss (B., D.D.), *Einleitung in das N.T.* Berlin, 1886.
- Zahn, *Einleitung in das N.T.*<sup>3</sup> Two vols. Leipzig, 1907.
- „ *English trans.* Three vols. Edinburgh, 1908.

## V.—LIFE OF CHRIST.

- Bousset (W., D.D.), *Life of Christ.* London, 1906.
- Edersheim (Alf., D.D.), *Life and Times of Jesus the Christ.*<sup>2</sup> London, 1883-1886.
- Farrar (F. W., D.D.), *Life of Christ.* London, 1883.
- Holtzmann (Oscar), *The Life of Christ.* London, 1904.
- Keim (Theodor), *Jesus of Nazareth.* Six vols. London, 1876-1883.
- Sanday, *Outlines of Life of Christ.* Edinburgh, 1905.
- „ *Life of Christ in Recent Research.* Oxford, 1907.
- Schweitzer (Albert, D.Phil.), *Von Reimarus zu Wrede. Eine Geschichte der Leben - Jesu Forschung.* Tübingen, 1906.

## VI.—PATRISTIC.

- Ante-Nicene Christian Library. T. & T. Clark, Edinburgh.
- Donaldson (Sir James), *Apostolic Fathers.* London, 1874.
- Funk (F. X., D.D.), *Patres Apostolici.* Tübingen, 1901.
- Gutjahr (F. S., D.D.), *Glaubwürdigkeit des Irenäischen Zeugnisses.* Graz, 1904.

- Harnack, *Die Apostellehre. Texte u. Untersuchungen.* 1884.
- Harvey (Wigan), *Irenæi Opera.* Two vols. Cantab., 1857.
- Hill (Rev. J. Hamlyn), *The Diatessaron of Tatian.* Edinburgh, 1894.
- Lightfoot, *Apostolic Fathers.*<sup>2</sup> Vols. i.-iv. London, 1890.
- Roensch, *Das N.T. Tertullians.* Leipzig, 1871.
- Semisch, *Justin Martyr: His Life and Writings.* Edinburgh, 1843.
- Swete (H. B., D.D.), *Patristic Study.* London, 1902.
- Taylor (Chas., D.D.), *Hermas and the Four Gospels.* London, 1892.
- New Testament in the Apostolic Fathers.* Oxford, 1905.

## VII.—THE GOSPELS.

## (1) AS A WHOLE.

- Blass (F., D.D., LL.D.), *Philology of the Gospels.* London, 1898.
- Burkitt, *The Gospel History and its Transmission.* Edinburgh, 1906.
- Robinson (J. A., D.D.), *The Study of the Gospels.* London, 1902.
- Sanday, *The Gospels in the Second Century.* London, 1876.
- Stanton (V. H., D.D.), *The Gospels as Historical Documents.* Cambridge, 1903.
- Westcott, *Introduction to the Gospels.*<sup>8</sup> London, 1895.

## (2) THE SYNOPTICS.

- Campbell (Colin, D.D.), *The First Three Gospels in Greek.*<sup>2</sup> London, 1899.
- Gloag (P. J., D.D.), *Introduction to the Synoptic Gospels.* Edinburgh, 1895.



- Harnack, *Sprüche u. Reden Jesu.* Leipzig, 1907.  
Hawkins (Sir John), *Horæ Synopticæ.* Oxford, 1898.  
Smith (James, of Jordanhill, F.R.S.), *The Origin of the Gospels.* London, 1853.  
Wellhausen (J.), *Einleitung in die drei ersten Evangelien.* Berlin, 1905.  
Wright (Arthur, D.D.), *Synopsis of the Gospels in Greek.* London, 1903.

(3) INDIVIDUAL GOSPELS.

(a) *St Matthew.*

- Allen (W. C.), *Commentary (Internat. Crit. Ser.)* Edinburgh, 1907.  
Morison (James, D.D.), *Commentary.* London, 1883.  
Zahn, *Das Evangelium des Matthæus Ausgelegt.* Leipzig, 1903.

(b) *St Mark.*

- Gould (E. P., D.D.), *Commentary (Internat. Crit. Ser.)* Edinburgh, 1896.  
Menzies (A., D.D.), *The Earliest Gospel.* London, 1901.  
Morison, *Commentary.*<sup>3</sup> London, 1883.  
Swete, *Commentary.* London, 1898.  
Weiss (B., D.D.), *Das Marcus-Evangelium.* Berlin, 1872.

(c) *St Luke.*

- Harnack, *Luke the Physician.* London, 1907.  
Hobart, *Medical Language of St Luke.* Edinburgh, 1883.  
Plummer (Alfred, D.D.), *Commentary (Internat. Crit. Ser.)* Edinburgh, 1898.  
Wright, *St Luke's Gospel in Greek.* London, 1900.

*(d) St John.*

- Drummond (James, LL.D.), *The Character and Authorship of the Fourth Gospel.* London, 1903.
- Gloag, *Introduction to the Johannine Writings.* Edinburgh, 1891.
- Loisy (Alfred), *Le Quatrième Evangile.* Paris, 1903.
- Luthardt (C. E., D.D.), *St John the Author of the Fourth Gospel.* Edinburgh, 1875.
- Sanday, *Authorship and Historical Character of the Fourth Gospel.* London, 1872.
- „ *Criticism of the Fourth Gospel.* Oxford, 1905.
- Watkins (Archdeacon, D.D.), *Modern Criticism in relation to the Fourth Gospel (Bampton Lecture).* London, 1890.
- Wendt (H. H., D.D.), *The Gospel according to St John.* Edinburgh, 1902.
- Westcott, *St John (Speaker's Commentary).* London, 1880.

*(4) APOCRYPHAL GOSPELS.*

- Nicholson (E. B.), *The Gospel according to the Hebrews.* London, 1879.
- Preuschen *Antilegomena.*<sup>2</sup> Giessen, 1905.
- Resch (Alfred), *Agrapha. Texte u. Untersuchungen.* 1889.
- Tischendorf, *Evangelia Apocrypha.*<sup>2</sup> Leipzig, 1876.
- Relevant articles in *Hastings' Dictionaries*, in *Encyclopædia Biblica*, and *Herzog Real-Encyclopædie.*<sup>3</sup>

# The Four Gospels in the Earliest Church History.



## INTRODUCTORY.

IN any estimate we form of the trustworthiness of the Gospels as a presentation of the Life, the Teaching, and the Work of Christ, much depends upon the directness of their sources and their proximity in time to the events which they record. When He is represented as the completion of God's earlier revelation of Himself to man, it is a momentous consideration whether He is a mere mythological figure or a great historical Personality. When the impression of Him conveyed by the writers of the Gospels is that of a Divine Person of supernatural power, stainless purity, and unwearying goodness, it is of supreme importance for us to have the assur-

ance that the picture has been drawn from the life. When there are words attributed to Him expressing the consciousness of unique Sonship to God the Father, a Sonship which was "perfect in every relation and of cosmical and eternal significance," it is of profound concern to us to have a record of them reliable and sure. As regards that death which He died upon the Cross, of which He said that it was a ransom for many, and of which His commissioned Apostles declared that it was for the remission of the sins of the world, it is of the utmost consequence to know that the evangelic and apostolic testimony is in accordance with the facts. And when the same narratives, with variations in detail but with substantial unanimity, record the Resurrection, setting before us the two momentous facts of an empty sepulchre and a Risen Lord, it is essential for the fulness of Christian faith and hope to be assured that the facts involved are not the invention of the first disciples, nor the result of reflection on the part of the growing Christian community, but historical realities vouched for from the beginning and attested by eyewitnesses who could not be mistaken.

Now if the Gospels were works of the second century, written by unknown authors or produced by reflection and discussion within the Christian

community, we could not have the same assurance of their trustworthiness. It would be difficult in such a case to maintain that the original tradition had not undergone transformation as it travelled downwards, and to show that the Gospel record was free from admixture of exaggeration and embellishment. But if we can have good reason for holding that the Gospels—and with them the Acts of the Apostles—were written within the lifetime of men who had seen the Lord, and if we can trace them to writers who were either credible eyewitnesses themselves or took pains to ascertain from eyewitnesses and trustworthy authorities the truth of what they record, then we may have confidence that the portrait they have drawn for us is the real Christ, that He actually performed those mighty works, and spake as never man spake, and died upon the Cross, and rose from the grave, and ascended to heaven for our redemption.

It is true there are those who decline to admit that the Gospels are more credible and trustworthy because they are contemporary records. Professor Schmiedel says:<sup>1</sup> “If our Gospels could be shown to be written from 50 A.D. onwards, or even earlier, we should not be under any necessity to withdraw our conclusion as to their

<sup>1</sup> *Encyclopædia Biblica*, art. “Gospels.”

contents; we should, on the contrary, only have to say that the indubitable transformation in the original tradition had taken place much more rapidly than one might have been ready to suppose. The credibility of the Gospel history cannot be established by the earlier dating of the Gospels." No! because no evidence, in the judgment of Schmiedel, could attest a miracle, the old doctrine of David Hume a century and a half ago. Professor Harnack, after having shown by a scholarly and elaborate argument that Luke the Physician, the author of the Third Gospel and of the Acts of the Apostles, was the fellow-worker and companion in travel of St Paul, and that his Gospel, depending to a considerable extent upon St Mark, fell within the days of the Apostles, guards himself against holding that St Luke's narrative is therefore more reliable and trustworthy as a record of facts.<sup>1</sup> This is doctrine that can only be maintained in the teeth of the established canons of historical credibility. Strauss did not go to the length of critical hardihood professed by these two scholars. He has said in his 'Life of Jesus': "It would most unquestionably be an argument of decisive weight in favour of the credibility of the Biblical history could it be shown that it was written by eyewitnesses, or

<sup>1</sup> Lucas der Arzt, p. 159 ff. (English trans.)

even by persons nearly contemporaneous with the events narrated" (p. 55). We can meet the dictum of the Berlin Professor with the judgment of a scholar of our own, not one whit behind Professor Harnack, in a field where both have a well-established pre-eminence. "In no other department of historical criticism," says Sir William Ramsay, "except Biblical, would any scholar dream of saying, or dare to say, that accounts are not more trustworthy if they can be traced back to authors who were children at the time the events occurred, and who were in year-long, confidential, and intimate relations with actors in these events, than they would be if they were composed by writers one or two generations younger, who had personal acquaintance with few or none of the actors and contemporaries."<sup>1</sup> This judgment is in accordance alike with the canons of historical credibility and with the dictates of common-sense. We would not withdraw the Gospels from the tests of literary and historical criticism. But we claim that their genuineness and credibility should be admitted when those tests have been applied and they have satisfied them.

<sup>1</sup> Expositor, December 1906.